Subject Description Form

Subject Code	APSS6403				
Subject Title	Health Care Ethics				
Credit Value	3 (Compulsory)				
Level	6				
Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion	Nil				
Objectives	To develop students' ability in critical appraisal of bioethical concepts and theories for their application to ethical discourse on biomedical and health care issues				
Assessment Methods	100% Continuous Assessment 1. Reaction Paper 2. Seminar Presentation 3. Individual Paper • The final grade is calculated accord • The final grade is calculated accord • The completion and submission passing the subject; and • Student must pass all the components	of all component assignme	ents are required for		
Intended Learning Outcomes	 Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: a. evaluate how ethical theories offer divergent understandings of the central values that frame health care and bioethical discourse b. critically appraise the contemporary issues in biomedical and health care ethics, taking into account the context of technological and social changes, and the challenges of moral pluralism c. articulate the relevant knowledge and skills needed to critically analyze and assess normative positions taken on the biomedical and health care issues at local, regional, and international levels d. justify their ethical viewpoints in ethical argumentation on specific biomedical and health care issues by adopting global and cross-cultural perspectives 				

						1
Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus	Conceptualization of ethical issues and approaches to ethical decision makin different moral theories: Kantian obligation-based ethics, utilitarian conseque based ethics, libertarian right-based ethics, feminist care ethics, agent-based we ethics, Chinese Confucian ethics.					nsequence-
	Ethical argumentation: the ability to identify the issues at stake in health of bioethics, and how the issues are presented at local, regional, and international the ability to critically assess arguments and positions; the ability to careff clearly articulate ethical issues; and draw on rival moral theories to competing ethical justifications in moral reasoning, to defend one's own position and to engage in consensus formation.					
	Global and cross-cultural perspectives on ethical discourse of specific biomedical and health care issues are explored, such as genetic engineering, reproductive technology, human experimentation, complementary and alternative medicine, life-sustaining treatment in end-of-life care, euthanasia, health resource rationing.					
Teaching/Learning	Lecture					
Methodology	They are conducted to examine and critically appraise the concepts and theories of ethics as applied to health care.					
	Seminar					
	Case studies and problem-based triggers will be used for engaging students in clarifying their ethical viewpoints and articulating ethical argumentation. Students would critically evaluate one's own performance and to constructively criticize peers' performance in the seminar.					
Assessment						
Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes	Specific assessment methods/tasks	% weighting	Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate)			
			a	b	с	d
	1. Reaction paper	25	~			
	2. Seminar presentation	25	✓	\checkmark	~	\checkmark
	3. Individual paper	50	✓	\checkmark	~	~
	Total	100				
	Penation nonor					
	Reaction paper Students will be assessed on their shility to annualists how othical theories offer					
	Students will be assessed on their ability to appreciate how ethical theories offer divergent understandings of the central values that frame health care and bioethical discourse					
	Seminar presentation					
	Students will be assessed on their ability to engage in ethical discourse with peers					

	Individual paper Students will be assessed on their ability to deliberate, analyse, articulate, and critique contemporary issues in biomedical and health care ethics by taking into account of competing theories and multi-cultural perspectives. Notes: • • The final grade is calculated according to the percentage assigned; • The completion and submission of all component assignments are required for passing the subject; and • Student must pass all the components if he/she is to pass the subject.		
Student Study Effort Expected	Class contact:		
	• Lecture	27 Hrs.	
	Seminar	12 Hrs.	
	Other student study effort:		
	Extensive reading	39 Hrs.	
	Seminar presentation and written assignment	39 Hrs.	
	Total student study effort	117 Hrs.	
Reading List and References	 Indicative Reading List: H. Barrett, D., W. Ortmann, L., Dawson, A., Saenz, C., Reis, A., & Bolan, G. (2016 Public Health Ethics: Cases Spanning the Globe (1st ed. 2016 ed., Public Health Ethics Analysis, 3). Cham: Springer International Publishing: Imprint: Springer. Beauchamp, Tom L. & Childress, James F. (2019). <i>Principles of Biomedical Ethic</i> (8th edition). New York: Oxford University Press. Cohen, Michael H. (2003). <i>Future Medicine</i>. Ann Arbor: The University of Michiga Press. Davis Anne J., Tschudin V., & de Raeve L. (eds) (2006). <i>Essentials of Teaching an</i> <i>Learning in Nursing Ethics: Perspectives and Methods</i>. Edinburgh: Churchi Livingstone/Elsevier Dickenson, D., Huxtable, R., & Parker, M. (2010). <i>The Cambridge Medical Ethics</i> <i>Workbook: Case Studies, Commentaries, and Activities</i> (2nd edition), Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press. Duncan, Peter (2010). <i>Values, Ethics and Health Care</i>, London: Sage. Dunn, Michael & Hope, Tony (2018). <i>Medical Ethics: A Very Short Introduction</i> (2n edition), Oxford: Oxford University Press. 		

Engelhardt, H.T. (1996) <i>The Foundations of Bioethics</i> (2 nd edition). New York: Oxford University Press.
Edge, R., Groves, J., & Ebrary, Inc. (2006). Ethics of health care a guide for clinical practice (3rd ed.). Clifton Park, NY: Thomson Delmar Learning.
May, W.F. (2001). <i>Beleaguered Rulers: The Public Obligation of the Professional</i> . Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press.
Pang, Samantha MC. (2003). Nursing Ethics in Modern China: Conflicting Values and Competing Role Requirements. Amsterdam-New York: Rodopi.
Sandel, Michael J. (2007). <i>The Case against Perfection: Ethics in the Age of Genetic Engineering</i> . Cambridge, MA & London: The Belknap Press.
Tao, Julia Lai PW (Eds.). (2002). Cross-cultural Perspectives on the (Im)Possibility of Global Bioethics. Boston, Mass.: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
Velleman, J. David. (2015). Beyond Price: Essays on Birth and Death. Cambridge: Open Books Publishers.